

Butler, Jennie C

From: speedwell@hotmail.com
Sent: Sunday, April 11, 1999 11:47 AM
To: FDADockets@test.oc.fda.gov
Cc: laboca@mr.net
Subject: Docket 98N-1038, Irradiation in the Production, Processing, and

SUBJECT too long. Original SUBJECT is
Docket 98N-1038, Irradiation in the Production, Processing, and Handling of Food

----- Original Message Follows -----

Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305)
Food and Drug Administration
5630 Fishers Lane, Room 1061
Rockville, Maryland 20852

Re: Docket # 98N-1038, "Irradiation in the Production, Processing, and Handling of Food"

To whom it may concern:

The FDA should retain the current labeling law, the current terminology of "treated with radiation" or "treated by irradiation," and the use of the radura symbol on all irradiated whole foods.

Regarding the issue of labeling, in its initial petition, the FDA concluded that irradiation was a "material fact" about the processing of a food, and thus should be disclosed. The material fact remains; therefore, labeling should remain. Consumer acceptability, storage qualities and nutrients are affected. Some irradiated foods have different texture and spoilage characteristics than untreated foods. Most fruits and vegetables have nutrient losses that are not obvious or expected by the consumer.

In addition, processing by irradiation causes chemical changes that are not evident and are potentially hazardous. Meat may have a higher level of carcinogenic benzene. All irradiated foods contain unique radiolytic products that have never been tested.

Whether or not the FDA has approved irradiation as safe, it remains a new technology with no long-term human feeding studies. Consumers certainly have a right to know if this process has been used on their food.

As to the kind of label used, I believe that label should be large enough to be readily visible to the consumer, on the front of the package. The label contains important information regarding the processing of the contents. For displayed whole foods such as produce, a prominent informational display similar to that used for meats should be used (but containing the term "irradiation" and the radura).

Because of the newness of the technology and the need to assess the public health effects of widespread use of irradiated foods, I believe that the FDA's labeling requirement should not be permitted to expire.

Yours truly
Sasha Albertini
speedwell@hotmail.com

What are you people doing to what I put in my mouth? You all make me scared. Do you think this cover=up attempt is going to make people trust you more, or less? Do you think it's going to cost more, or less, when you think about how much the government is going to pay in lost productivity and increased public health problems due to illnesses caused by the food industry for the purpose of fattening their bottom line?

Think, people. Just think about what you're asking us, literally, to swallow.

98N-1038

C 559